

Living in the community, support services and mental health

Tina Minkowitz

CRPD course sp 2017

©Tina Minkowitz 2017

Introduction

- Beyond abolition of coercion: framing a positive right to diverse communities and to user-designed supports
- Articles [19](#), [25](#), [26](#), [28](#)
- Article 19 *living independently, and *being included in community

Models for support in community

- [Independent living model](#)
- [Personal assistance](#) ; service animals; self-support; general community services like yoga, athletics, community centers, pubs, places of worship
- Survivor community models: peer support [theory](#) and basic principles: [doing it for ourselves](#)
- Alternative mental health crisis support – e.g. Open Dialogue, Soteria, peer-run respite and drop-ins, Alternatives to Suicide, Hearing Voices, etc.
- Advocacy support – peer advocacy, PO-Skåne, legal and paralegal access to justice programs
- Community and family involvement in supports – e.g. M.O.M.S.

Questions

- What is the difference between ‘community-based mental health services’ and realization of the right to live independently and be included in the community?
- Does the independent living/peer support model of needs-based user-designed support exclude or include:
 - Services provided by or under supervision of mental health professionals?
 - Conventional mental health services (medications, therapy)?
 - Mental health residential facilities (including group homes, supportive housing)?
 - Alternative mental health practices like Soteria?
- Is peer support itself an alternative mental health practice or something different? How do we make the distinctions?

Models for social inclusion

- Peerly human – ‘What can we learn about the nature and challenges of being human?’
- The Red Door – safe space for creativity, everybody/nobody is mad
- TCI Asia – transforming communities as overall frame for CRPD advocacy
 - Eliminating barriers to inclusion such as mental health commitment/forced treatment laws

Transforming mental health services

- End to all coercion and restriction, respect legal capacity at all times including crisis
- Free and informed consent as affirmative obligation – not gatekeeping; accurate information, no incentive/disincentive etc.
- Removing medical model features that are harmful, e.g.
 - Diagnosis (no scientific basis): instead personal narrative of challenges, desired goal, needs
 - Chemical imbalance stereotype/falsehood: instead drug-based / not diagnosis-based prescribing (Joanna Moncrieff)
 - Professional mystique of esoteric knowledge or divination: instead trained/skilled support for common exploration of life challenges

Example of legislation

- Disability Integration Act (proposed legislation) – enforceable right to receive long term support services in the community instead of institutionalization
 - Autonomy and user control, freedom from coercion and restriction part of definition of community-based service
 - Descriptive needs and tasks, including areas of life and assistance relevant to people with psychosocial disabilities
 - Includes ‘emergent and intermittent needs’
 - Public entities obligated to make available affordable housing separate from services
- Limitations
 - Funding streams covered not necessarily universal (in US, need single payer health care besides)
 - No explicit ban on legislated mental health commitment and compulsory treatment
 - Some language more medical-model than we would wish
 - Requires administrative agency regulation, ‘devil is in the details’
- Bottom line: strong policy departure from segregated mh law and policy, mainstreams pwpsd in social model, choice-based affirmative right to services and avoidance of institutionalization
- Transitional step in a country that has not ratified CRPD and where medical model and coercive mh laws are highly entrenched